

Geothermal Systems for Heating in Pork Production



Bernardo Predicala, Ph.D.

Previous studies showed that energy costs in swine operations range from about \$7 to \$12 per pig sold; this has steadily increased over recent years and now represents the third largest variable cost in hog production (after feed and labour). Maintaining desired conditions year round in production facilities requires significant amount of energy, particularly in cold climates. A survey of 28 swine farms in Saskatchewan showed that heating and ventilation costs constitute almost 80% of energy used in various types of swine barns. Compared with conventional heating systems using either gas, oil or electricity, a geothermal system utilizes ground heat to provide primary heating and cooling.

Computer simulation analysis was done to calculate the overall heating energy use in a production room operated under normal management practices; this served as the basis for designing the required capacity and

the associated components of the geothermal system needed to meet the projected heating energy consumption.

The geothermal system, or alternatively known as ground source heating system was composed of a heat pump and 550 m of 1.9 cm diameter polyethylene pipes buried in 2.6 m to 3 m deep trenches on the ground outside the PSCI barn. The buried pipes contained 20% methanol - 80% water solution for absorbing heat from the ground for heating and for using the ground as heat sink during the cooling trial. A 5-ton heat pump which used R-410a refrigerant was installed in the geothermal room and its air-handling unit was connected to the room's air recirculation duct. A 22-kW forced convection heater was also installed in the room as back-up heater.

Energy consumption for heating and ventilation

Energy consumption for heating and ventilation comprised the total energy use in each room. Energy consumption for heating included both the electrical and heating fuel consumption of the geothermal heat pump and heaters and ventilation included the electrical consumption for both ventilation and recirculation fans. For the three heating cycles used in this analysis,



the heaters needed to operate only during the first 3 to 6 weeks of the trial when the pigs were still small and the room temperature setpoint were the highest (i.e. supplemental heat from the heaters were required to maintain the setpoint temperature). On subsequent weeks of the room cycle, the heaters were only needed minimally with negligible energy usage because the heat generated by the pigs was sufficient to maintain the setpoint temperature in the room.

Table 1 shows the energy consumed by the geothermal and control rooms for heating and ventilation during the period when heaters were running. On average, the room with the conventional gas-fired heater (Control) consumed

Table 1. Energy consumption for heating and ventilation in the geothermal and control rooms over three heating trials

Trial	Heating		Ventilation	
	Geothermal, kWh electricity	Control, m ³ natural gas	Geothermal, kWh	Control, kWh
1	1232	226.5	476	426
2	705	201.2	194	199
3	1682	141.6	175	181
Average	1206 ± 489	189.8 ± 43.6	282 ± 169	268 ± 136

Table 2: Average air temperature (°C) and relative humidity (%) in the geothermal and control rooms when heaters were in operation.

Trial	Temperature at center of room, °C		Temperature near the exhaust fans, °C		Relative Humidity, %	
	Geothermal	Control	Geothermal	Control	Geothermal	Control
1	20.9	21.2	18.4	19.2	67.3	67.5
2	21.8	22.3	20.0	19.8	59.9	65.9
3	21.3	21.9	20.3	20.2	53.5	61.5
Average	21.3 ± 0.5	21.8 ± 0.6	19.6 ± 1.0	19.7 ± 0.5	60.2 ± 6.9	65.0 ± 3.1

a total $189.8 \pm 43.6 \text{ m}^3$ of natural gas for heating. The room with the geothermal heating system did not use any natural gas but consumed a total of $1206 \pm 489 \text{ kWh}$ of electricity mainly to run the heat pump. On the other hand, the energy consumption for ventilation in the control room was about $268 \pm 136 \text{ kWh}$ of electricity while the geothermal room used about $282 \pm 169 \text{ kWh}$ of electricity to ventilate the room during the heating season.

Since the heating fuel consumption was expressed in terms of cubic metres (m^3) of natural gas while electrical consumption of heaters and fans was in kWh, the weekly average energy consumption data of the two rooms were converted to gigajoules (GJ) to be able to compare the two heating systems. Results showed that the weekly energy consumption for heating the geothermal room was significantly lower ($p < 0.10$) than in the control room. Additionally, the two

rooms did not differ significantly in average weekly energy consumption for ventilation. Thus, over one growth cycle, the geothermal heating system

required less energy (5.36 GJ) to extract heat from the ground and to heat the room air compared to the conventional natural gas-fired heater (8.43 GJ); this is about 36% significant reduction ($p < 0.10$) in total energy needed for heating and ventilation compared to the control room.


Temperature and relative humidity

Average air temperature at the center of the rooms as well as the temperature and relative humidity near the exhaust fans when heaters were in operation are presented in Table 2. Both rooms had almost the same room air temperature and relative humidity over the three heating trials. On average, the temperature at the center of the room with the geothermal heating system was about

$21.3 \pm 0.5 \text{ °C}$ while the control room had $21.8 \pm 0.6 \text{ °C}$. Furthermore, an average temperature of about $19.6 \pm 1.0 \text{ °C}$ and relative humidity of $60.2 \pm 6.9 \%$ were observed near the exhaust area of the geothermal room; these were about 4.8% less than the corresponding temperature and relative humidity in the control room, respectively.

Conclusion

Based on the findings of this study, the following conclusions can be made:

In-barn evaluation of the geothermal system showed about 36% reduction in energy consumption for heating and ventilation in the room with the geothermal system during the heating season relative to the room with the conventional forced-convection heater. The mean air temperature, relative humidity, and air quality within the two rooms were relatively similar during winter season. 

(What Does Being Sustainable...Cont'd from pg. 1)

One way to look at sustainability is simply how well systems and processes can endure given the fluctuating physical, political, economic and social environments where we operate in 2016. This topic is important and timely and not just theoretical. Prairie Swine Centre (PSC) turns 25 years old this year. The original buildings and some of the key staff which migrated into the non-profit research corporation date back 35 years ago. So for a new concept in industry-science collaboration, I think Prairie Swine Centre could define SUSTAINABILITY as embracing change and staying ahead of the curve. In a technology-driven industry where our business is the development and distribution of knowledge change is all around us and benchmarking progress is done against a background that is multi-factorial and moving rapidly.

Cost of production will always be important, that is the fuel to sustain an industry. Our focus, expertise and search for new technologies are firmly rooted here. However there is a sea change in expectations taking place outside the pork value chain and affecting every component of it. A partial list of these sustainability issues includes:

- Antimicrobial use
- Transportation
- Care and welfare of our animals
- Environmental impact
- Greenhouse gases
- Aging barn infrastructure and replacement
- Accommodating larger animal groups and freedom of movement
- Access to qualified personnel

- Occupational health and safety
- Access to financing to accomplish changes in the above list

PSC as an organization is committed to providing accurate and timely knowledge to businesses in the pork industry across Canada. That knowledge is based on developing science, very often in partnerships with other researchers and institutions, and going wherever the path leads to identify what is needed. Over the course of this next year you can expect PSC to be making a contribution to addressing all of these sustainability topics above.

We are very pleased to see the personnel resources serving the industry are growing. A new faculty position has been created at the *(What Does Being Sustainable...Cont'd on pg. 9)*